

CHAPTER 8 STAKEHOLDERS MEETING

Attendees:

Don Mayhew (Mayhew Surveying & Engineering), Mark Bross (Klinger & Associates), Kent Strucker (American Water Works), Jeff Barnard (Burns & Mac), Randy Clarkson (Bartlett & West), Seth Cogen (Total Environmental Services), Emily Lyon, Cynthia Smith, Dave Uhlig, Leland Neher (on conference call), Keith Forck, Byron Shaw, and Rob Morrison*

* DNR employees are denoted in blue.

Introduction:

- ❖ We will be discussing rules 140 through 170 today. These rules had previously been discussed, but there were few comments.
- ❖ Will begin writing the RIR for 110 through 170
- ❖ Goal is to present the RIR and rules to the Clean Water Commission (CWC) at the July meeting (110 through 210)
- ❖ After the CWC approval, the rules and RIR will be on public notice for about 90 days
- ❖ The rule making process may take 18 months from the date of the July CWC meeting
- ❖ The rules have been changed to stay with the 10 States Standards

10 CSR 20-8.140:

Mayhew:

- **♦ (5)(B)1.**: 3 facilities only in Missouri?
 - Nationwide
- **❖** (5)(B)2.: Provisions for pilot plants?
 - The provisions are there. Need to supply pilot plant or full scale data for unproven technology.

Uhlig:

- ❖ (6)(B)3.: Mentions that 10 States used the word bypassing and we are trying to avoid the term
- ❖ (9): Mentions that we may have to include NFPA requirements in the future
- ❖ (10): Mentions that 10 States has 3 categories, but utilized only 2

Mavhew:

- ❖ (3)(C): What water sources are meant? Drinking water sources?
 - > We should be consistent with Drinking Water regulations

Lvon:

- ❖ (3)(C): Does this apply to only new construction?
 - No, we want to see the DGLS report for existing facilities



Speaker Unknown:

- ❖ (3)(B): 500-year flood instead of 100-year?
 - > Sticking with 100-year flood

10 CSR 20-8.150:

Neher:

❖ (3)(A)3.A.: Take out 5/8" and replace with "smaller".

Bross:

- ★ (3)(A)4.B.: Will stairways be required for pits deeper than 4 feet?Yes
- Strucker:

❖ (3)(A)3.I.: Screening Removal and Disposal should be paragraph I. Also the subparagraphs should be (I), (II), and (III)

Mavhew:

- ❖ (3)(A)2.A.: Why is the access only through an outside entrance? Why not a door?
 ➤ Doesn't meet code for a hazardous location (Mark Bross)
- Strucker:
- ❖ (3)(A): Should clarify that this section does not apply to (3)(B) fine screens.
 - We can do that

Uhlig:

- **❖ (4)**: Are comminutors still being used?
 - > Yes

Smith:

- ❖ (5)(B)1.: Should the "shall" and "must" be changed back to shoulds?
 - ➤ It should only be a recommendation, because it is not always possible

Speaker Unknown:

- ❖ (3)(A)8.: What peak flow is referred to here?
 - We need to be consistent with the definitions of peak flow in 110
 - ➤ 10 States says instantaneous peak flow (Randy Clarkson)
 - Leland suggested peak hourly flow, which is consistent with comminutors

Strucker:

❖ (5)(B)2.A.: Seems redundant when the rule is referenced

Lyon:

❖ (5)(C)1.: This paragraph mentions the word bypass a lot.



> Could say unit isolation for maintenance purposes or something to that effect

Speaker Unknown:

- ❖ (5)(C)1.: What is considered a "small" wwtp?
 - ➤ Bear in mind that this rule used to be 22,500 gpd and above
 - ➤ Could be defined in the Department internal guidance document
 - > Should it say it may be allowed up to a certain gpd?
 - Not aware of any manually cleaned grit chambers
 - Randy mentioned that a flow equalization basin could service as a manually cleaned grit chamber (just pump out grit every once in awhile)
 - Remove the 2nd sentence and remove the word "large"

Speaker Unknown:

❖ (7)(E)2.: Change "should" to "shall"

Discussion on NFPA versus NEC:

- ❖ Barnard for NFPA and Mayhew for NEC
- ❖ NEC focuses on mechanical/electrical issues and concerns
- NEC has provisions for sealed doors, equipment
- NEC requires certain pumps, but most specifications do not spec out the correct pump equipment
- ❖ NFPA focuses on the potential of a fire
- ❖ NFPA has good ventilation language
- ❖ NFPA 8-20

10 CSR 20-8.160:

Mayhew:

- ❖ (4)(A): Why was the side water depth changed from 7' to 10'?
 - ➤ 10 States

Clarkson:

❖ (4)(B)2.B.: Glad to see 35 pounds per square foot

Speaker Unknown:

- **♦ (5)(B)3.**: "Provisions shall be made to allow for visual confirmation of return sludge." How are you to visually confirm?
 - ➤ Maybe change to "should" instead of "shall"
 - Could use sight glass or clear tube or pipe to the influent box

Speaker Unknown:

❖ (5)(B)4.B.: Strike out the words "viewing"



Cogen:

- **♦** (4)(D)3.: Why were the weir loadings raise?
 - ➤ 10 States
 - > Solids loading rates is more important than weir loading rates especially for extended aeration

Strucker:

- ❖ (5)(A): What is "full service" defined as?
 - > Strike out "full service" and begin sentence with "Scum collection..."

10 CSR 20-8.170:

❖ Was not covered at this time.

Conclusions:

- ❖ Send comments to either Byron Shaw or Dave Uhlig
- Next meeting will cover 170 through 210